

Minor review comments for manuscript number: 2024/AJAAR/113365

Dari: editor.sciencedomain87@yahoo.com (editor.sciencedomain87@yahoo.com)

Kepada: pinaringan_b@yahoo.co.id

Tanggal: Kamis, 15 Februari 2024 20.55 GMT+8

Dear Dr. Akas Pinaringan Sujalu,

We are contacting from **Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research** regarding Manuscript Number. 2024/AJAAR/113365

Title of the Manuscript: **THE CLIMATE CONSIDERATIONS IN REVITALIZING AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN THE PROVINCE OF EAST KALIMANTAN**

-

All Review Forms (3 nos) are attached with this email.

Please do the correction as per the review comments in the following file

File name: (Ms_AJAAR_113365)

Deadline:

Authors are requested to send revised paper as soon as possible (**within 7 days**) to accelerate the pre-publication formalities. If we receive the revised version within this deadline, the paper can be published in the current issue of the journal within 7 days. If extra time is required, kindly inform us.

Revised paper:

1. Comments of all the reviewers should be addressed during revision. Authors are requested to submit the revised paper with all the corrections highlighted in yellow color (for example. **abc.....efg**).
2. Authors should write their feedback in the review form in the space provided for 'author's comment' and send back the filled forms to us along with the revised paper.
3. **Please send us the revised version along with feedback via E-mail attachment in reply mail.**

You are hereby requested to kindly acknowledge the receipt of this mail.

NB: This email is sent from three email ids (sciencedomain.org/Yahoo/Gmail) to avoid delivery failure

With Best Regards

Ms. Ruma Bag

Journal editorial office

Reg. Offices:

India: Guest House Road, Street no - 1/6, Hooghly, West Bengal, India, Tele: +91 8617752708
| +91 9163821242, WhatsApp: +91 8617752708,

UK: Third Floor, 207 Regent Street, London, W1B 3HH, UK, Fax: +44 20-3031-1429

EMP-003-PP



Note from Editorial Office.docx
12.2kB



Rev_AJAAR_113365_Nic.doc
56kB



Rev_AJAAR_113365_Nic_A.doc
247.5kB



Rev_AJAAR_113365_Sha.docx
29.1kB



Editorial comment_AJAAR_113365.doc
52.5kB



Ms_AJAAR_113365.doc
243kB

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_113365
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CLIMATE CONSIDERATIONS IN REVITALIZING AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN THE PROVINCE OF EAST KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community, but it is imperative to attend to the comments such as in text citations in the introduction/background and the findings.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>All subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>Is scientifically correct</p> <p>No suggestions for additional references</p> <p>No further comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Is suitable for scholarly communications once attended to the comments</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>None</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
--	---------------------------	--

[Review Form 1.7](#)

		<i>highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous reviewer (Only for this stage as per Review policy)
Department, University & Country	

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_113365
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CLIMATE CONSIDERATIONS IN REVITALIZING AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN THE PROVINCE OF EAST KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **Jack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	You can publish as it is	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous reviewer (Only for this stage as per Review policy)
Department, University & Country	